

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,534	07/11/2003	James C. Hamilton	RAR461.01	3485	
•	590 12/15/	004	EXAM	INER	
Richard A. Ry RYAN & ENG			ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
Suite 104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	8469 N. Millbrook 1761				
Fresno, CA 93720 DATE MA			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/617,534	HAMILTON, JAMES C.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
I H L - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
. 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.	
3)			rs. prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposit	ion of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application	an	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
	Claim(s) <u>20-29</u> is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,12,15-19 and 30</u> is/are reject	atod	
	Claim(s) <u>3,9-11,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and		
	on Papers	or dicction requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examir		
ЮД	The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: a		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	cuon is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-xammer. Note the attached (	Jiffice Action or form PTO-152.
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received in App	olication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
* 0	application from the International Burea		•
S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.
ttachment	• •		
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)
.) ∐ Notice i) ⊠ Inform	eor urantsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SP/09		
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>11 July 2003</u> .	6) Other:	
3) 🛛 Inform	demark Office	Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Infor	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,534

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-30, drawn to a hulling apparatus, classified in class 99, subclass
   605.
- II. Claims 31-41, drawn to a method of operating a hulling apparatus, classified in class 426, subclass 478.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as one which does not require a main frame having a plurality of upright frame members and transverse frame members.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Richard Ryan on December 2, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-30. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Application/Control Number: 10/617,534

Art Unit: 1761

Office action. Claims 31-41 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 12, 15-18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kile.

There is disclosed in Kile a hulling apparatus, comprising: a main frame assembly having a plurality of upright frame members 18 and a plurality of transverse frame members 17; a moveable support frame 44 slidably mounted to the main frame for movement of the moveable support frame relative to the main frame; a jack assembly 53 operationally attached to the moveable support frame to move the moveable support frame; a pair of shaped components having a first shaped component 15 rotatably attached to the main frame and a second shaped component 16 attached to the moveable support frame, the first shaped component disposed in the second component and defining a hulling chamber and product path; a contact element 56, 59 attached to the first shaped component; a support member attached to the main frame above the moveable support frame (see figure 3); means 22, 24, 25 for rotating the first shaped component; and a downwardly extending spiral member 56, 59 attached to the

Application/Control Number: 10/617,534

Art Unit: 1761

second shaped component so as to guide movement of the food product along the product path.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kile in view of Fauth.

Fauth discloses the use of a rotatable washer 8 in combination with a peeling device. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the apparatus of Kile with the rotatable washer disclosed in Fauth, in order to clean the food products after hulling.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-29 are allowed.

Claims 3, 9-11, 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Formway, Burckhardt and Feiling are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla December 13, 2004 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761